Omega Pharma Case – Legal Aspect



Michael Wolfe
WILLIAM FRY Partner, William Fry



Omega Pharma scheme

Scheme met statutory MFS

TDR: employer contributions fixed by trustees, after consulting with scheme actuary and principal employer

Employer issued 3 months' notice to discontinue contributions







What Happened?

Expert advice obtained from scheme actuary

€2.23m based on hybrid MFS/annuity buy-out valuation basis

Employer declined to engage with trustees

Trustees sued employer







Court's Decision

Upheld trustees' claim

Trustees' decision:

- Could not be regarded as one which no reasonable body of trustees would have made
- Was reasonable in absence of input from employer

Judgment for €2.23 million







Where does this case get us?

Trustees' perspective

Employers' perspective

Advisers' perspective







iapf Element Six and Omega Pharma

	elementsix	PHARMA	
Parties	Scheme members v scheme trustees	Scheme trustees v employer	
Type of scheme	Defined benefit	Defined benefit	
Engagement between employer and trustees	Detailed engagement leading to majority of trustees accepting final contribution offer	No engagement by employer with proposed consultation process, resulting in unilateral demand by trustees	
Primary issue	Whether accepting a final contribution offer well below scheme deficit amounted to a breach of fiduciary duty?	Whether correct computation method was used in calculation of final contribution demand?	
Allegation of conflict of interest	Yes	No	





Element Six and Omega Pharma

el	e	n	er	its	X _{TM}
6					



Appropriate standard of review for trustees decisions

Act honestly, in good faith and reasonably.
Take all relevant considerations into account, exclude all irrelevant considerations

Agreed by Moriarty J.

Employer's actuary/expert also conceded he would have adopted the trustees' approach if in a similar scenario

Method of computation used

MFS

Hybrid of MFS and annuity buyout basis





Lessons from Omega Pharma

1.

Seek and consider expert professional advice

2.

Trustees entitled to seek more than statutory MFS

3.

Onus on employers to engage with trustees

4.

Reasonable decision – Court won't interfere





TDRs differ from scheme to scheme

Each case depends on its own facts

High Court judgment may be appealed

CAUTION

CAUTION

CAUTION

WILLIAM FRY

CAUTION

MOITH

www.iapf.ie





Michael Wolfe
Partner, Head of Pensions

D: +353 1 6395204

E: michael.wolfe@williamfry.ie

